1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2 COMMISSIONERS

2010 APR 21 P 2: 06

3

KRISTIN K. MAYES-Chairman CORP COMMISSION GARY PIERCE DOCKET CONTROL

PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY

BOB STUMP

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

APR 2 1 2010

DOCKETED BY

6

5

7

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN AND FOR RELATED APPROVALS.

DOCKET NO. E-01575A-08-0328

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR AN ORDER INSTITUTING A MORATORIUM ON NEW CONNECTIONS TO THE V-7 FEEDER LINE SERVING THE WHETSTONE, RAIN VALLEY, ELGIN, CANELO, SONOITA, AND PATAGONIA, ARIZONA AREAS.

DOCKET NO. E-01575A-09-0453

SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S OBJECTION AND MOTION TO STRIKE LATE-FILED INTEVENOR WITNESS TESTIMONY

19 20 21

22232425

26

On April 15, 2010, Mr. Marshall Magruder, a witness on behalf of Intervenor Susan J. Downing in the above-captioned matters, filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") a Notice of Filing Marshall Magruder's Testimony Summary with Responses to Oral Testimonies and Public Comments in Support of Intervenor Sue Downing along with 62 pages of supplemental testimony (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Late-Filed Testimony"). Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("SSVEC" or "Cooperative") hereby objects to this Late-Filed Testimony and requests that the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") strike such testimony for the reasons set forth below.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The ALJ's February 11, 2010, Procedural Order provided that direct and/or responsive testimony on behalf of Intervenors was to be filed by March 16, 2010. The Procedural Order also specifically provided that "rebuttal or surrebuttal testimony and associated exhibits will be presented orally at the hearing." At the March 19, 2010, Procedural Conference, counsel for SSVEC raised a concern regarding Mr. Magruder's pre-filed direct testimony, that was prepared and filed on behalf of Intervenor Susan J. Downing, because it indicated that he was planning on supplementing his testimony:

> ...in Mr. Magruder's prefiled testimony, on pages 59 and 68 and perhaps elsewhere, it indicated that he will be providing supplemental testimony and/or filings in relation to that testimony. Sulphur would object to any attempt to late file testimony or direct evidence.2

At the 252 Hearing, Mr. Magruder was given an opportunity to provide oral surrebuttal to the oral rebuttal testimony of SSVEC, and in fact did provide oral Thereafter SSVEC was provided its opportunity to cross-examine Mr. surrebuttal. Magruder on such surrebuttal testimony. At the conclusion of the 252 Hearing, the ALJ asked each of the parties if they believed they received a fair hearing, and upon each parties' affirmation of such, the ALJ closed the record (pending submission of simultaneous closing briefs by the parties) and took the matter under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

The filing of the Late-Filed Testimony is a blatant violation of the ALJ's February 11, 2010, Procedural Order, as well as a violation of Commission practice and procedure. Moreover, it is an attempt to supplement the record with what should be characterized as extensive written Intervenor surrebuttal testimony, almost a month after the conclusion of the hearing and the closure of the record. Such Late-Filed Testimony is hearsay,

February 11, 2010, Procedural Order at page 4, lines 7-8 (emphasis added.)

² Transcript of March 19, 2010, Procedural Conference at page 41, line 19 through page 42, line 1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

prejudicial to SSVEC, and appears to have been late-filed in an effort to: (i) supplement the record without SSVEC having an opportunity to refute such testimony through crossexamination; and (ii) inappropriately influence the ALJ and the Commission. Magruder, who has participated in a number of Commission proceedings, knows or should know that this filing is totally improper.

On the basis of the foregoing, SSVEC hereby objects to the Late-Filed Testimony and requests the ALJ to strike such testimony. Additionally, as this is now the second attempt by the Intervenors and/or Intervenor witnesses to file improper information subsequent to the close of the record in this proceeding,³ SSVEC requests that the ALJ admonish the Intervenors and their witnesses that as parties and/or witnesses on behalf of the Intervenor parties in this proceeding, it is not appropriate for them to file any further information in this docket unless expressly permitted by Commission practice.⁴

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 21st day of April, 2010.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By

Bradley S. 'Earroll One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren

Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202

Attorneys for Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

See SSVEC's Motion to Strike Portions of Intervenors' Closing Brief filed on April 19, 2010.

⁴ It should be noted that each time an Intervenor or Intervenor witness has filed an objection or made an improper filing in this docket, SSVEC must respond to preserve its rights. This results in the Cooperative and its members incurring additional expenses.